

IZA DP No. 9949

**Work Experience from Paid Employment and the
Path to Entrepreneurship:
Business Takeover versus New Venture Start-Up**

Guoqian Xi
Jörn Block
Frank Lasch
Frank Robert
Roy Thurik
May 2016

Work Experience from Paid Employment and the Path to Entrepreneurship: Business Takeover versus New Venture Start-Up

Guoqian Xi

University of Trier

Frank Robert

Montpellier Business School

Jörn Block

*University of Trier and
Erasmus University Rotterdam*

Roy Thurik

*Erasmus University Rotterdam,
Montpellier Business School and IZA*

Frank Lasch

Montpellier Business School

Discussion Paper No. 9949

May 2016

IZA

P.O. Box 7240
53072 Bonn
Germany

Phone: +49-228-3894-0
Fax: +49-228-3894-180
E-mail: iza@iza.org

Any opinions expressed here are those of the author(s) and not those of IZA. Research published in this series may include views on policy, but the institute itself takes no institutional policy positions. The IZA research network is committed to the IZA Guiding Principles of Research Integrity.

The Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA) in Bonn is a local and virtual international research center and a place of communication between science, politics and business. IZA is an independent nonprofit organization supported by Deutsche Post Foundation. The center is associated with the University of Bonn and offers a stimulating research environment through its international network, workshops and conferences, data service, project support, research visits and doctoral program. IZA engages in (i) original and internationally competitive research in all fields of labor economics, (ii) development of policy concepts, and (iii) dissemination of research results and concepts to the interested public.

IZA Discussion Papers often represent preliminary work and are circulated to encourage discussion. Citation of such a paper should account for its provisional character. A revised version may be available directly from the author.

ABSTRACT

Work Experience from Paid Employment and the Path to Entrepreneurship: Business Takeover versus New Venture Start-Up*

Our paper investigates how the type of work experience gained from prior paid employment influences the path to entrepreneurship. We distinguish between two distinct entrepreneurship entry modes: business takeover and new venture start-up. Using a large and rich French data set, we find that small firm experience increases the likelihood for business takeover, whereas management and same sector experience both increase the likelihood for new ventures. Our findings are relevant for policymakers aiming to improve the business transfer process.

JEL Classification: L26

Keywords: entrepreneurship entry mode, business takeover, new venture start-up, work experience

Corresponding author:

Roy Thurik
Erasmus School of Economics
Erasmus University Rotterdam
P.O. Box 1728
3000 DR Rotterdam
The Netherlands
E-mail: thurik@ese.eur.nl

* Frank Lasch, Frank Robert and Roy Thurik are members of the 'Entrepreneurship and Innovation' chair which is part of LabEx Entrepreneurship (University of Montpellier, France) and funded by the French government (Labex Entreprendre, ANR-10-Labex- 11-01). Guoqian Xi has received a scholarship from the China Scholarship Council.

1. Introduction

There are several ways to become an entrepreneur; two distinct methods are either starting a new venture or taking over an existing business. Due to uncertainties related to newness and smallness, the new venture path is more risky than business takeover (Block et al., 2013; Parker and van Praag, 2012). The latter also requires more financial capital (Bastié et al., 2013). Prior research shows that several individual and country-specific characteristics influence the business takeover versus new venture decision (Bastié et al., 2013; Block et al., 2013; Parker and van Praag, 2012). So far, however, we know little about how the type of work experience from one's previous paid employment influences their path to entrepreneurship.

It has been shown that small firm and same sector experience influence the entrepreneurship decision (Elfenbein et al., 2010; Gompers et al., 2005; Parker, 2009). However, to date, this literature does not distinguish between different modes of entry into entrepreneurship. Our paper makes this distinction by analysing which type of work experience favours the business takeover or the new venture path.

Using a French firm-level data set, which includes more than 30,000 firms that were either started as new ventures or were taken over by an entrepreneur, we observe that the profiles of these two business types differ significantly in terms of the entrepreneur's work experience, education, growth ambition, age, and financial capital used. Most importantly, we find that small firm experience from previous paid employment increases the likelihood for business takeover, whereas management and same sector experience both increase the likelihood for new ventures.

Our paper contributes to the literature about the determinants of the path to entrepreneurship (Bastié et al., 2013; Block et al., 2013; Cooper and Dunkelberg, 1986; Fujii and Hawley, 1991; Parker and van Praag, 2012). We show how the type of work experience from previous paid employment influences whether new venture start-up or business takeover is used as entrepreneurship entry mode. Our finding that small firm experience favours business takeover has policy implications. Policymakers aiming to increase the match between firms looking for an outside successor and entrepreneurs willing to take over an established firm should target their efforts towards employees from small, rather than large firms. Our paper also contributes to the literature about the effects of work experience on the entrepreneurship decision (Elfenbein et al., 2010; Gompers et al., 2005; Parker, 2009) by distinguishing between different entrepreneurship entry modes.

2. Dataset and variables

Our dataset is called SINE (*Système d'Information sur les Nouvelles Entreprises*). It was created by INSEE (*Institut National de la Statistique et des Etudes Economiques*), which sent a questionnaire to all new ventures and business takeovers in France that were established in the first

half of 2002. A total of 92,966 out of 100,731 firms responded to the questionnaire. This high response rate is due to SINE's mandatory nature and ensures that our dataset is representative for the French population of new ventures and business takeovers.

We restricted our sample to new ventures founded by or businesses that were taken over by former paid employees. We excluded former self-employed individuals, students, homemakers, retirees and unemployed individuals (39,567 individuals in total). Finally, we also excluded 11,284 part-time entrepreneurs.

The SINE data set includes three types of business takeovers: family firm takeovers, management buyouts, and outside takeovers. In line with prior research (Bastié et al., 2013; Parker and van Praag, 2012), we excluded 531 family firm takeovers and 803 management buyouts from our analysis, as these two types of takeovers constitute special cases that are not available for non-family members or external employees, respectively. Furthermore, outliers (eleven new ventures and takeovers with more than 200 employees) and observations with missing values are excluded from the sample. Our final sample consists of 30,756 full-time entrepreneurs (27,145 started a new venture, and 3,611 took over an existing business).

We run a logistic regression to conduct the analysis. Our dependent variable – *business takeover* – equals one if the entrepreneur chose business takeover as the entry mode and equals zero if the entrepreneur chose new venture start-up. Our focal independent variables concern the entrepreneur's previous work experience during paid employment. The variable *management experience* measures whether the entrepreneur has worked as a CEO or senior manager. The variable *same sector experience* equals one if the entrepreneur has worked in the same sector. We distinguish between three types of experience regarding firm size: *small firm* (less than 49 employees), *medium firm* (50 to 249 employees), and *large firm experience* (more than 250 employees).

We add several individual-level control variables, such as *educational level*, *entrepreneurs in close relational circle*, *entrepreneurial training*, *growth ambition*, *age*, *gender*, and *nationality*. Additionally, we control for firm-level characteristics such as the amount of *start-up capital received*, *public aid*, and *percentage of self-funding*. We also include nine industry and 26 region dummies as controls. All variables are defined in Table A1 of the appendix.

3. Results

About 88% of the entrepreneurs in our sample started a new venture; 12% chose business takeover. Table 1 compares entrepreneurs who started a new venture with entrepreneurs who chose business takeover as entry mode. We find that *small firm experience* is higher for entrepreneurs who chose business takeover than for entrepreneurs who started a new venture, whereas *same sector experience* is higher for new ventures than for business takeovers. The proportion of entrepreneurs with *management experience* is also higher for new ventures than for business takeovers.

--- Tables 1 and 2 here ---

Table A2 of the appendix shows a correlation table and reports variance inflation factors (VIFs). The correlations between the independent variables are low and the VIFs fall within an acceptable range; as such, multicollinearity is unlikely to be a concern, particularly since the sample is very large. Table 2 shows the estimates of our logistic regression with *business takeover* as the dependent variable. The results show that the amount of *start-up capital* is positively associated with business takeover as the entrepreneurship entry mode. Moreover, the regressions confirm the univariate findings that new ventures are more likely to have received *public aid* and have a higher *percentage of self-funding* than business takeovers. Regarding individual-level control variables, we find that entrepreneurs with *higher education* are more likely to start a new venture, which corresponds to the results of Bastié et al. (2013), Block et al. (2013), and Parker and van Praag (2012). Furthermore, our results show that *entrepreneurial training* or *having entrepreneurs in a close relational circle* both have a positive relationship with new ventures. We also find that significant age effects exist: entrepreneurs aged *50 years and older* prefer new ventures versus business takeovers. We did not find significant effects regarding *gender* or *nationality*.

With respect to our main independent variables, our results show that the firm size of the former employer matters. Compared to paid employees from small firms, employees from medium firms (*medium firm experience*: $\beta=-0.18$, $p<0.05$) and large firms (*large firm experience*: $\beta=-0.16$, $p<0.05$) are more likely to choose new venture start-up versus business takeover. In line with Bastié et al. (2013), we find that entrepreneurs with *same sector experience* are more likely to have entered entrepreneurship with a new venture than through business takeover ($\beta=-0.21$, $p<0.001$). *Management experience* is positively related to new venture start-up ($\beta=-0.63$, $p<0.001$). This finding differs from Bastié et al. (2013) and Parker and van Praag (2012).

We performed several robustness checks, of which the three most important are available in the appendix (Tables A3 and A4). In the first robustness check, we include 9,226 part-time entrepreneurs in our sample; in the second robustness check, we change the definition of management experience so that it only includes those entrepreneurs with senior management experience; in the third robustness check, we subdivide small firm (less than 49 employees) into micro firm (less than 10 employees) and small firm experience (10-49 employees). The results of the robustness checks confirm the findings from our main models.

4. Discussion and conclusion

Our study shows that the type of work experience from previous paid employment influences the path to entrepreneurship and the choice of entrepreneurship entry mode. We distinguish between new venture start-up and business takeover as two important and common entrepreneurship entry modes. The existing literature on entrepreneurship choice regarding these two entry modes is still small (Bastié et al., 2013; Block et al., 2013; Parker and van Praag, 2012). Bastié et al. (2013) showed that entrepreneurs with social capital, higher educational level, and same sector experience favoured new venture start-up, whereas entrepreneurs with management experience preferred business takeover. Parker and van Praag (2012) found the same relationship as Bastié et al. (2013) regarding the relationship between management experience and business takeover; however, they did not find a significant effect of sector experience. Block et al. (2013) showed that the entrepreneur's educational level, risk attitude, and inventiveness are all positively associated with new venture start-up and that substantial cross-country differences exist in the preferred entry mode.

Our paper connects the small amount of literature regarding new venture start-up versus business takeover with the literature on how the type of work experience influences entrepreneurship entry modes (Elfenbein et al., 2010; Gompers et al., 2005; Parker, 2009). We find that small firm experience is positively associated with business takeover, whereas management experience and same sector experience exhibit negative relationships.

The small firm effect can be explained by the employees' reasons for leaving their paid employment to become entrepreneurs. We argue that the motivation to become an entrepreneur differs between paid employees from large versus small firms. Large firms tend to be hierarchical and bureaucratic. Employees may have felt frustrated that their former (large) employer neglected their innovative ideas. A well-documented example is Xerox; many former employees have founded small independent firms because Xerox rejected their innovative projects (Audretsch, 2007). In moving from paid employment to entrepreneurship, employees seek to realize their innovative ideas and become their own boss, giving them the possibility to create and shape their own organization and work environment (van Gelderen and Jansen, 2006). Because employees from large firms are often well paid and the opportunity costs are thus higher, we argue that, particularly for employees from large firms, non-financial aspects of entrepreneurship must play an important role in the motivation to become an entrepreneur (Millán et al., 2013). The importance of non-financial motivations, however, also varies according to one's career stage and family situation (Jaouen and Lasch, 2015; Jayawarna et al., 2011). In line with Block et al. (2013), we posit that the potential for innovation and the possibility to create one's own organization and work environment is greater in a new venture than a business takeover. By starting a new business from scratch, entrepreneurs can shape the venture to be exactly as they envision it. This possibility exists to a lesser extent with

business takeovers, where the organization is already in place, including its products, employees, suppliers, and customers. Small firm employees, on the other hand, have had the opportunity to network with suppliers, customers, and even competitors. They are more adept and are in a better position at spotting potential high growth firms that are seeking outside successors, in particular micro and other small firms. Small firm employees may also be better suited to use their networks to acquire such firms.

We also show (see Table 2) that the profiles of those individuals starting new ventures versus those taking over existing businesses differ significantly in terms of work experience, education, ambition, age, and financial capital.

Our findings are relevant for policymakers aiming to improve the business transfer process. Across the EU and many other countries, several proposals have been made and initiatives have been launched that are designed to improve the business transfer process, including a reduction of (inheritance) taxes, measures to help prepare those who want to sell their business, as well as training and financial support for those who want to take over an existing business (European Commission, 2012). Our findings suggest that policymakers and firms looking for an outside successor should direct their efforts towards employees from small, rather than large firms.

Our study is not without limitations, from which avenues for further research can be identified. In particular, the type of work experience from paid employment investigated in this study could be extended to include experience from specific types of organizations, for instance, international firms or non-profit organizations. The number of years of general work experience may also play a role in explaining entrepreneurship choice (Fujii and Hawley, 1991). In addition, work experience can be categorized according to its specialized areas, such as marketing experience, R&D experience, etc. (Stuetzer et al., 2012). Another promising avenue of future research is to look at how a balanced set of skills and experiences (Åstebro and Thompson, 2011; Lazear, 2005) may affect the path to entrepreneurship.

References

- Åstebro, T., Thompson, P., 2011. Entrepreneurs, jacks of all trades or hobos? *Res. Policy* 40, 637–649.
- Audretsch, D.B., 2007. *The Entrepreneurial Society*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Bastié, F., Cieply, S., Cussy, P., 2013. The entrepreneur's mode of entry: the effect of social and financial capital. *Small Bus. Econ.* 40, 865–877.
- Block, J., Thurik, R., van der Zwan, P., Walter, S., 2013. Business takeover or new venture? Individual and environmental determinants from a cross-country study. *Entrep. Theory Pract.* 37, 1099–1121.

- Cooper, A.C., Dunkelberg, W.C., 1986. Entrepreneurship and paths to business ownership. *Strateg. Manag. J.* 7, 53–68.
- Elfenbein, D.W., Hamilton, B.H., Zenger, T.R., 2010. The small firm effect and the entrepreneurial spawning of scientists and engineers. *Manage. Sci.* 56, 659–681.
- European Commission, 2012. Facilitating Transfer of Business. <http://bookshop.europa.eu/en/facilitating-transfer-of-business-pbNBBN12003/> (accessed 16.04.16).
- Fujii, E.T., Hawley, C.B., 1991. Empirical aspects of self-employment. *Econ. Lett.* 36, 323–329.
- Gompers, P., Lerner, J., Scharfstein, D., 2005. Entrepreneurial spawning: public corporations and the genesis of new ventures, 1986 to 1999. *J. Finance* 60, 577–614.
- Jaouen, A., Lasch, F., 2015. A new typology of micro-firm owner-managers. *Int. Small Bus. J.* 33, 397–421.
- Jayawarna, D., Rouse, J., Kitching, J., 2011. Entrepreneur motivations and life course. *Int. Small Bus. J.* 31, 34–56.
- Lazear, E.P., 2005. Entrepreneurship. *J. Labor Econ.* 23, 649–680.
- Millán, J.M., Hessels, J., Thurik, R., Aguado, R., 2013. Determinants of job satisfaction: a European comparison of self-employed and paid employees. *Small Bus. Econ.* 40, 651–670.
- Parker, S.C., 2009. Why do small firms produce the entrepreneurs? *J. Socio. Econ.* 38, 484–494.
- Parker, S.C., van Praag, C.M., 2012. The entrepreneur's mode of entry: Business takeover or new venture start? *J. Bus. Ventur.* 27, 31–46.
- Stuetzer, M., Goethner, M., Cantner, U., 2012. Do balanced skills help nascent entrepreneurs to make progress in the venture creation process? *Econ. Lett.* 117, 186–188.
- van Gelderen, M., Jansen, P., 2006. Autonomy as a start-up motive. *J. Small Bus. Enterp. Dev.* 13, 23–32.

Table 1: Descriptive statistics (means and tests of mean differences)

	mean	mean	t-values ^a of tests of mean differences
	New venture	Business takeover	
<i>Type of work experience from previous paid employment</i>			
Small firm experience	0.72	0.79	-9.59***
Medium firm experience	0.11	0.08	6.00***
Large firm experience	0.16	0.12	6.73***
Management experience	0.25	0.16	12.24***
Same sector experience	0.68	0.61	8.71***
<i>Further characteristics of the entrepreneur</i>			
No diploma	0.14	0.13	2.83**
Lower than A-level diploma	0.38	0.48	-11.67***
A-level diploma	0.17	0.19	-3.05**
A-level plus two years education	0.12	0.10	4.25***
A-level plus over two years education	0.19	0.11	15.00***
Entrepreneurs in close relational circle	0.68	0.66	1.70
Received entrepreneurial training	0.40	0.38	2.31*
Growth ambition	0.44	0.56	-13.61***
Age under 35	0.41	0.47	-6.56***
Age between 35 and 49	0.47	0.46	0.76
Age over 50	0.12	0.07	10.77***
Female	0.22	0.34	-15.01***
French	0.89	0.93	-10.41***
<i>Firm characteristics</i>			
Start-up capital: <2k	0.20	0.02	52.16***
Start-up capital: 2-16k	0.56	0.20	48.49***
Start-up capital: 16-80k	0.20	0.40	-23.83***
Start-up capital: >80k	0.05	0.37	-40.22***
Received public aid	0.36	0.29	7.88***
Percentage of self-funding	57%	28%	53.20***
N entrepreneurs	27,145	3,611	

* p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001

^a Welch's t-test

**Table 2: Logistic regression:
determinants of business takeover (dummy=1) versus new venture start-up (dummy=0)**

	Coefficients	p-values
<i>Type of work experience from previous paid employment</i>		
Small firm experience	benchmark	
Medium firm experience	-0.18	0.032
Large firm experience	-0.16	0.036
Management experience	-0.63	0.000
Same sector experience	-0.21	0.000
<i>Control variables</i>		
<i>Individual-level variables</i>		
No diploma	benchmark	
Lower than A-level diploma	0.07	0.357
A-level diploma	-0.23	0.008
A-level plus two years education	-0.39	0.000
A-level plus over two years education	-0.21	0.051
Entrepreneurs in close relational circle	-0.25	0.000
Received entrepreneurial training	-0.20	0.000
Growth ambition	0.09	0.078
Benchmark: age under 35	benchmark	
Age between 35 and 49	-0.01	0.900
Age over 50	-0.21	0.022
Female	-0.05	0.358
French	-0.02	0.862
<i>Firm-level variables</i>		
Start-up capital: <2k	benchmark	
Start-up capital: 2-16k	1.23	0.000
Start-up capital: 16-80k	2.50	0.000
Start-up capital: >80k	3.65	0.000
Received public aid	-0.74	0.000
Percentage of self-funding	-1.20	0.000
Industry dummies (9 categories)	Yes	0.000
Region dummies (26 categories)	Yes	0.000
Constant	-4.21	0.000
N entrepreneurs	30,756	
McFadden's pseudo R ²	0.46	
Log likelihood	-5,959.45 (p<0.001)	
Percentage correctly classified	91.64%	

Data brief and robustness checks

Table A1: Description of variables

Variable	Description
<i>Dependent variable</i>	
Business takeover	Dummy =1 if the entrepreneur has taken over a firm from outside; dummy = 0 if the entrepreneur has started a new venture (Q5/7/17).
<i>Type of work experience from previous paid employment</i>	
Small firm experience	Dummy =1 if the entrepreneur has work experience gained mainly from firm(s) with less than 50 employees (Q9).
Medium firm experience	Dummy =1 if the entrepreneur has work experience gained mainly from firm(s) with 50 to 249 employees (Q9).
Large firm experience	Dummy =1 if the entrepreneur has work experience gained mainly from firm(s) with over 250 employees (Q9).
Management experience	Dummy =1 if the entrepreneur has worked as a CEO or senior manager before (Q5/6).
Same sector experience	Dummy =1 if the entrepreneur has worked in the same sector before (Q10).
<i>Control variables</i>	
<i>Individual-level variables</i>	
Benchmark: no diploma	Dummy =1 if the entrepreneur has no diploma (Q4).
Lower than A-level diploma	Dummy =1 if the entrepreneur has lower than A-level diploma (Q4).
A-level diploma	Dummy =1 if the entrepreneur has A-level diploma (Q4).
A-level plus two years education	Dummy =1 if the entrepreneur has A-level diploma plus two years education (Q4).
A-level plus over two years education	Dummy =1 if the entrepreneur has A-level diploma plus more than two years education (Q4).
Entrepreneurs in close relational circle	Dummy =1 if the entrepreneur has business leaders or self-employed people in his or her close relational circle (Q12).
Received entrepreneurial training	Dummy =1 if the entrepreneur has received specific training for his or her business (Q21).
Growth ambition	Dummy =1 if the entrepreneur's primary goal is to develop his or her business; dummy = 0 if the primary goal is to ensure his or her own job (Q16).
Age under 35	Dummy = 1 if the entrepreneur is less than 35years old (Q1).
Age between 35 and 49	Dummy =1 if the entrepreneur is between 35 and 49 years old (Q1).
Age over 50	Dummy =1 if the entrepreneur is over 50 years old (Q1).
Female	Dummy =1 if the entrepreneur is female (Q2).
French	Dummy =1 if the entrepreneur is French (Q3).
<i>Firm-level variables</i>	
Start-up capital: <2k	Dummy =1 if the start-up capital is less than 2,000 € (Q23).
Start-up capital: 2-16k	Dummy =1 if the start-up capital is from 2,000 € to less than 16,000 € (Q23).
Start-up capital: 16-80k	Dummy =1 if the start-up capital is from 16,000 € to less than 80,000 € (Q23).
Start-up capital: >80k	Dummy =1 if the start-up capital is more than 80,000 € (Q23).
Received public aid	Dummy =1 if the entrepreneur has received public aid (Q27).
Percentage of self-funding	The percentage of self-funding or funding from family or associate in the total amount of start-up capital (25)
<i>Other control variables</i>	
Industry dummies	9 industries: Agricultural food, non-agricultural food, construction, commerce, transport, real estate, business services, personal services, education, health and social work. The definition is based on French Classification of Activities (NAF)
Region dummies	26 regions: Alsace, Aquitaine, Auvergne, Basse-Normandie, Bourgogne, Bretagne, Centre, Champagne-Ardenne, Corse, Franche-Comté, Guadeloupe, Guyane, Haute-Normandie, Île-de-France, Languedoc-Roussillon, La Réunion, Limousin, Lorraine, Martinique, Midi-Pyrénées, Nord-Pas-de-Calais, Pays de la Loire, Picardie, Poitou-Charentes, Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur, Rhône-Alpes

Q=Question in the SINE questionnaire

Table A2: Correlation table

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	VIF		
1 Business takeover																						1.31	
2 Medium firm experience	-0.03																						1.07
3 Large firm experience	-0.04	-0.15																					1.18
4 Management experience	-0.06	0.10	0.20																				1.43
5 Same sector experience	-0.05	-0.05	-0.14	-0.03																			1.06
6 Lower than A-level diploma	0.07	-0.06	-0.15	-0.27	0.03																		2.50
7 A-level diploma	0.02	-0.02	-0.01	-0.02	-0.03	-0.36																	2.02
8 A-level plus two years education	-0.02	0.07	0.07	0.04	-0.05	-0.29	-0.16																1.81
9 A-level plus over two years education	-0.07	0.09	0.25	0.42	-0.03	-0.38	-0.21	-0.17															2.44
10 Entrepreneurs in close circle	-0.01	0.00	-0.02	0.01	-0.01	-0.01	0.03	0.02	0.00														1.02
11 Received entrepreneurial training	-0.01	-0.05	-0.08	-0.23	0.02	0.21	0.00	-0.08	-0.20	0.04													1.15
12 Growth ambition	0.08	0.00	-0.03	0.06	0.04	-0.01	0.02	0.03	-0.05	0.05	0.02												1.10
13 Age between 35 and 49	0.00	0.04	0.02	0.04	-0.03	0.07	-0.05	-0.05	-0.02	-0.03	-0.02	-0.02											1.20
14 Age over 50	-0.05	0.03	0.12	0.21	-0.04	-0.05	0.01	-0.02	0.09	-0.07	-0.12	-0.10	-0.34										1.27
15 Female	0.09	-0.01	0.02	-0.07	-0.11	-0.08	0.08	0.05	0.08	0.01	-0.03	-0.10	0.00	-0.02									1.09
16 French	0.05	0.04	0.07	0.07	-0.11	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.07	-0.02	-0.04	-0.03	0.03	0.10								1.13
17 Start-up capital: 2-16k	-0.23	-0.01	-0.04	-0.04	0.05	0.02	-0.02	0.00	-0.05	0.00	0.02	-0.04	0.01	0.00	-0.07	-0.08							2.00
18 Start-up capital: 16-80k	0.16	0.00	0.01	0.00	-0.04	0.02	0.04	0.00	-0.03	0.04	0.06	0.13	0.00	-0.04	0.03	0.08	-0.55						2.06
19 Start-up capital: >80k	0.38	0.00	0.04	0.12	-0.04	-0.04	0.00	0.02	0.07	0.02	-0.03	0.13	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.04	-0.32	-0.16					1.75
20 Received public aid	-0.04	-0.01	-0.04	-0.11	-0.08	0.07	0.02	0.02	-0.05	0.05	0.20	0.00	0.00	-0.06	0.06	0.10	0.03	0.11	-0.06				1.11
21 Percentage of self-funding	-0.22	0.04	0.08	0.14	-0.04	-0.14	-0.01	0.07	0.14	-0.01	-0.12	-0.07	0.03	0.08	-0.05	-0.06	0.14	-0.18	-0.16	-0.10			1.16

N entrepreneurs = 30,756. Correlation coefficients which are greater than or equal to 0.02 (in absolute value) are significant at 0.1% level. Mean VIF=1.47.

Table A3: Robustness checks I and II

	Robustness check I (Part-time entrepreneurs are included in the sample)	Robustness check II (Management experience is defined as working as senior manager before)
<i>Type of work experience from previous paid employment</i>		
Benchmark: small firm experience		
Medium firm experience	-0.13 ⁺ (-1.80)	-0.22 [*] (-2.42)
Large firm experience	-0.15 [*] (-2.32)	-0.25 ^{**} (-3.17)
Management experience	-0.77 ^{***} (-12.84)	-0.43 ^{***} (-4.79)
Same sector experience	-0.08 [*] (-1.81)	-0.26 ^{***} (-4.87)
<i>Control variables</i>		
<i>Individual-level variables</i>		
Benchmark: no diploma		
Lower than A-level diploma	-0.06 (-0.84)	-0.01 (-0.12)
A-level diploma	-0.40 ^{***} (-5.19)	-0.35 ^{***} (-3.74)
A-level plus two years education	-0.53 ^{***} (-5.90)	-0.59 ^{***} (-5.35)
A-level plus over two years education	-0.43 ^{***} (-4.77)	-0.38 ^{**} (-3.23)
Entrepreneurs in close relational circle	-0.29 ^{***} (-6.39)	-0.29 ^{***} (-5.38)
Received entrepreneurial training	-0.14 ^{**} (-2.94)	-0.23 ^{***} (-4.26)
Growth ambition	0.06 (1.40)	0.08 (1.56)
Benchmark: age under 35		
Age between 35 and 49	-0.01 (-0.12)	-0.01 (-0.26)
Age over 50	-0.31 ^{***} (-3.89)	-0.19 ⁺ (-1.85)
Female	0.07 (1.36)	-0.11 ⁺ (-2.02)
French	-0.11 (-1.30)	0.02 (0.17)
<i>Firm-level variables</i>		
Benchmark: start-up capital: <2k		
Start-up capital: 2-16k	1.18 ^{***} (10.50)	1.27 ^{***} (9.27)
Start-up capital: 16-80k	2.45 ^{***} (21.87)	2.59 ^{***} (18.95)
Start-up capital: >80k	3.43 ^{***} (29.14)	3.80 ^{***} (26.31)
Received public aid	-0.62 ^{***} (-12.75)	-0.78 ^{***} (-14.30)
Percentage of self-funding	-1.14 ^{***} (-18.45)	-1.23 ^{***} (-16.39)
Industry dummies (9 categories)	p<0.001	p<0.001
Region dummies (26 categories)	p<0.001	p<0.001
Constant	-3.73 ^{***} (-10.22)	-3.97 ^{***} (-8.60)
N entrepreneurs	39,982	28,576
McFadden's pseudo R ²	0.430	0.478
Log likelihood	-7,604.04	-5,432.11
LR χ^2	11,477.76 ^{***}	9,957.90 ^{***}
Percentage correctly classified	92.04%	91.91%

Notes: t statistics in parentheses. † p<0.10, * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001

Table A4: Robustness check III

	Robustness check III (Micro firm: less than 10 employees; small firm: 10-49 employees)
<i>Type of work experience from previous paid employment</i>	
Benchmark: micro firm experience	
Small firm experience	-0.11 [†] (-1.83)
Medium firm experience	-0.22* (-2.54)
Large firm experience	-0.20* (-2.54)
Management experience	-0.63*** (-8.77)
Same sector experience	-0.22*** (-4.17)
<i>Control variables</i>	
<i>Individual-level variables</i>	
Benchmark: no diploma	
Lower than A-level diploma	0.07 (0.96)
A-level diploma	-0.23** (-2.62)
A-level plus two years education	-0.38*** (-3.66)
A-level plus over two years education	-0.21 [†] (-1.96)
Entrepreneurs in close relational circle	-0.25*** (-4.97)
Received entrepreneurial training	-0.20*** (-3.86)
Growth ambition	0.09 [†] (1.84)
Benchmark: age under 35	
Age between 35 and 49	-0.00 (-0.08)
Age over 50	-0.21* (-2.28)
Female	-0.06 (-1.13)
French	-0.01 (-0.14)
<i>Firm-level variables</i>	
Benchmark: Start-up capital: <2k	
Start-up capital: 2-16k	1.23*** (9.32)
Start-up capital: 16-80k	2.50*** (19.02)
Start-up capital: >80k	3.65*** (26.41)
Received public aid	-0.74*** (-14.04)
Percentage of self-funding	-1.20*** (-16.72)
Industry dummies (9 categories)	p<0.001
Region dummies (26 categories)	p<0.001
Constant	-4.17*** (-9.83)
N entrepreneurs	30,756
McFadden's pseudo R ²	0.46
Log likelihood	-5,957.76
LR χ^2	10,335.12***
Percent correctly classified	91.61%

Notes: t statistics in parentheses. [†] p<0.10, * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001